



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,509	03/25/2004	Koji Ishii	040155	5520
23850	7590	07/14/2008	EXAMINER	
KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP			MAKIYA, DAVID J	
1420 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 400			2885	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,509	ISHII ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Makiya	2885	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David J. Makiya. (3) Ismael Negron.

(2) Jim Baker. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3.

Identification of prior art discussed: Duggal et al. (US 6515314), Krafcik et al. (US 6465951).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner clarified the Duggal and Krafcik references to explain the previous reasons for rejection and the process steps. Applicant's attorney also admitted that the references currently meet all limitations to the structure of the applicant's invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ismael Negron/
Patent Examiner
AU 2885

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required